K&A Associates

1407 Foothill Blvd., Suite 233, La Verne, CA 91750 Telephone: (626) 339-6051 Facsimile (626) 339-6148 RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
OCT 1 0 2007

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

October 10, 2007

TO:

Board of Appeals
U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450
Telephone No.

Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300

Number of pages including cover page:

COMMENTS:

Sir,

A Reply to Examiner's Answer was faxed to the Board of Appeals earlier today at fax number 571-273-0053. However, no auto-reply fax confirming receipt of this paper was returned to the undersigned. In order to obtain an auto-reply receipt from the PTO, enclosed is a copy of the paper faxed to the Board of Appeals. My apologies for the duplicate submittal. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth W. Float Registration No. 29, 233

This message is intended only for the use of the individual person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosing, copying, distributing or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of the communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (call collect) and return the original message to us at the above address via the postal service. Thank you.

7709953310 RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 0 2007

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: SUSAN CIABURRO ET AL.

Serial No.: 09/912,167 Filed: July 23, 2001

For: METHODS FOR TESTING MULTIBEAM SATELLITE SYSTEMS USING INPUT POWER

TELEMETRY AND OUTPUT NOISE POWER

Date: October 10, 2007

Group Art Unit: 2618

Examiner: John J. Lee

REPLY TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Commissioner for Patents -P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed September 24, 2007.

Appellants' are at a loss to understand the paperwork transmitted by the USPTO in the present appeal, and this paper is submitted in an attempt to move the appeal forward. The following is a summary of papers relating to this appeal.

A final rejection was mailed on 11/3/2004.

A response to the final rejection was mailed to the PTO 11/24/2004.

An advisory action was mailed on 12/27/2004.

A notice of appeal was mailed to the PTO on 1/31/2005.

An appeal brief was mailed to the PTO on 3/15/2005.

An amended appeal brief (in response to a notice of non-compliance) was mailed to the PTO on 7/14/2005

A second amended appeal brief (in response to a notice of non-compliance) was mailed to the PTO on 7/25/2006

A third amended appeal brief (in response to a notice of non-compliance) was mailed to the PTO on 8/15/2006.

An Examiner's answer was mailed 12/18/2005.

A reply brief was mailed to the PTO on 1/25/2007.

A duplicate copy of the Examiner's answer dated 12/18/2005 was mailed 4/19/2007.

Another duplicate copy of the Examiner's answer dated 12/18/2005 was mailed 8/10/2007.

Yet another duplicate copy of the Examiner's answer dated 12/18/2005 was mailed 9/24/2007.

Therefore, three copies of the Examiner's answer that are substantially identical to the initial answer have been mailed to Appellants on 4/19/2007, 8/10/2007 and 9/24/2007. These additional copies of the original answer do not appear to raise any new issues for consideration by Appellants or the Board, and it is thus not understood why these additional copies of the Examiner's answer have been mailed to Appellants.

It is respectfully requested that the appeal be moved along or at least that some explanation be provided as to why three copies of the Examiner's answer have been mailed to Appellants that do not appear to raise any new issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth W. Float Registration No. 29,233

K&A Associates 1407 Foothill Blvd., Suite 233 La Verne, CA 91750 Telephone: (626) 339-6051